I	Case 3:10-cv-08142-JWS Document 23	Filed 10/12/10 Page 1 of 6			
1 2 3 4 5	JOHN FRIEDEMAN, P.C. (#3607) 5103 E. Thomas Road Phoenix, Arizona 85018 (602) 840-0314 e-mail: john@friedeman.com Attorney for Exeter Trinity Properties <b>IN THE UNITED STAT</b> <b>DISTRICT O</b>				
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,				
7	Plaintiffs,	No. 3:10-CV-08142 JWS			
8 9	VS.				
9 10	JOSEPH J. LIPARI, EILEEN H. LIPARI	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT			
10	and EXETER TRINITY PROPERTIES, L.L.C.,				
11	Defendants.	Honorable John W. Sedwick			
13	In response to the Complaint, Exeter Trinity Properties, L.L.C., admits, denies and				
14	alleges as follows:				
15	1. In response to paragraph 1, the Complaint speaks for itself.				
16	2. This defendant lacks sufficient information upon which to form an opinion as to the				
17	truth or falsity of the allegations in paragraph 2.				
18	3. Admits the allegations in paragraph 3				
19	4. Admits the allegations in paragraph 4.				
20	5. In response to paragraphs 5 and 6, denies that Joseph and/or Eileen Lipari has an				
21	ownership interest in the real property [the "Property"] described in paragraph 8 of the Complaint.				
22	This defendant lacks sufficient information upon which to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity of				
23	the remaining allegations in paragraphs 5 and 6.				
24	6. In response to paragraph 7, this defendant does not know the motivations of the				
25	Plaintiff, but admits that this defendant claims an interest in the Property.				
26	7. Admits the allegations in paragraph 8.				
	8. Admits the allegations in paragra	aphs 9 - 13 and 15.			

JOHN FRIEDEMAN, P.C. 5103 East Thomas Road Phoenix, Arizona 85018-7914 (602) 840-0314

## Case 3:10-cv-08142-JWS Document 23 Filed 10/12/10 Page 2 of 6

1	9. This defendant lacks sufficient information upon which to form an opinion as to the	
2	truth or falsity of the allegations in paragraph 14.	
3	10. This defendant lacks sufficient information upon which to form an opinion as to	
4	the truth or falsity of the allegations in paragraphs 16 - 30.	
5	11. This defendant lacks sufficient information upon which to form an opinion as to	
6	the truth or falsity of the allegations in paragraphs 32, 34 and 36.	
7	12. Denies the allegations in paragraphs 38 and 39.	
8	13. Objects to the allegations in paragraph 40 to the extent they are conclusions of	
9	law. Without waiving the objection, this defendant admits that it holds title to the Property and	
10	denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 40.	
11	14. Admits that liens for alleged unpaid tax assessment claimed against Joseph and/or	
12	Eileen Lipari have been filed, but denies that those liens encumber the Property.	
13	15. Objects to the allegations in paragraph 43 to the extent they are conclusions of	
14	law. Without waiving the objection, this defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 43.	
15	16. Objects to the allegations in paragraph 44 to the extent they are conclusions of	
16	law. Without waiving the objection, this defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 44.	
17	17. Objects to the allegations in paragraph 46 to the extent they are conclusions of	
18	law. Without waiving this objection, this defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 46.	
19	18. Denies the allegations in paragraph 47.	
20	19. With regard to the allegations in paragraphs 48 - 66 this defendant denies that any	
21	Notice of Federal Tax Lien filed against Joseph and/or Eileen Lipari attached to, or against, the	
22	Property.	
23	A. With regard to the remaining allegations in paragraphs 48 - 52, 55 - 60 and 64 -	
24	65, this defendant lacks sufficient information upon which to form an opinion as to the truth or falsity	
25	of those allegations.	
26		
	2	

1	B. With regard to the remaining allegations in paragraphs 53 - 54, 61 - 63 and 66,	
2	admits that Notices of Federal Tax Lien were recorded as alleged in the name of this defendant as	
3	Nominee/Alter Ego, and sometimes also as Transferee, of Joseph and/or Eileen Lipari with regard to	
4	their alleged income tax obligations and related assessments.	
5	20. All allegations not expressly admitted are denied.	
6	21. No separate response is required to paragraphs 31, 33, 35, 37, 42 or 45.	
7	22. This defendant affirmatively pleads as follows:	
8	A. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may granted against this	
9	defendant.	
10	B. The Plaintiff's claims against this defendant are time barred by A.R.S. § 44-1009.	
11	C. The Plaintiff's claims against this defendant are time barred by 28 U.S.C. § 3306.	
12	D. Upon information and belief, the Plaintiff's claims against this defendant are time	
13	barred by 26 U.S.C. § 6502.	
14	E. Upon information and belief, the defendants Lipari were solvent when they	
15	transferred the subject real property and remained solvent thereafter.	
16	F. Upon information and belief, the defendants Lipari were not indebted to the	
17	Plaintiff when they transferred the subject real property and for some period	
18	thereafter.	
19	G. Upon information and belief, when the defendants Lipari transferred the subject	
20	real property they had not been sued nor threatened with suit.	
21	H. Upon information and belief, the defendants Lipari transferred the subject real	
22	property as part of their estate planning.	
23	I. Upon information and belief, the defendants Lipari transferred the subject real	
24	property in good faith without intention to defraud any creditor.	
25	J. Public disclosure of the transfer of the subject real property was given through	
26	recordation of the deed to Ponderosa Trust on March 24, 1993.	
	3	

1	K. The defendants Lipari relinquished legal control over the subject real property		
2	when they transferred title and neither title nor control has been restored to them.		
3	L. The defendants Lipari moved out of the subject real property on November 9,		
4	2007, and they have not returned.		
5	M. This defendant made repairs to the house after the defendants Lipari moved out		
6	and then rented it to a third party in early 2008.		
7	N. This defendant was created on June 4, 1999, to be a successor to the Ponderosa		
8	Trust because a limited liability company was considered to be better suited to		
9	management of the subject property than a trust.		
10	O. This defendant does not claim a beneficial interest in the subject real property.		
11	P. This defendant had no involvement in the defendant Lipari's transfer of the subject		
12	real property to the Ponderosa Trust.		
13	Q. Subsequent to receiving title to the subject real property from the Ponderosa Trust		
14	on July 22, 1999, this defendant has managed the property.		
15	WHEREFORE, this defendant requests:		
16	1. That the plaintiff take nothing by its Complaint against this defendant.		
17	2. That this defendant be awarded its costs and reasonable attorney's fees incurred		
18	herein.		
19	3. Such other relief as may be just.		
20	Dated: October 12, 2010.		
21	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,		
22	/s/		
23	John Friedeman 5103 E. Thomas Road		
24	Phoenix, AZ 85018 Attorney for Exeter Trinity Properties, L.L.C.		
25	Fittomey for Execter Finity Froperites, E.E.e.		
26			
	4		

I	Case 3:10-cv-08142-JWS D	ocument 23	Filed 10/12/10	Page 5 of 6
1	The foregoing mailed,			
2	delivered or faxed this October 12, 2010, to:			
3	Original to Clerk of Court Sandra Day O'Connor U.S. Courth	101186		
4		louse		
5	Phoenix, AZ 85003-2118			
6	Copy to:			
7	Hon. John W. Sedwick Sandra Day O'Connor U.S. Courth	nouse		
8	SPC1 401 W. Washington St., #130			
9	Phoenix, AZ 85003-2118			
10	U.S. Attorney			
11	District of Arizona Two Renaissance Square			
12 13	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4408			
14				
15	Trial Attorney, Tax Division U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 683			
16				
17	Attorney for Plaintiff			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
		5		

JOHN FRIEDEMAN, P.C. 5103 East Thomas Road Phoenix, Arizona 85018-7914 (602) 840-0314

1	STATE OF ARIZONA )			
2	County of Maricopa ) ss. VERIFICATION			
3	I, Elmer Phillip Vild, aka Phillip O'Neil, being first duly sworn upon my oath,			
4	depose and state:			
5	I am a trustee of the Golden Kiwi Trust which is a member of Exeter Trinity			
6	Properties, L.L.C., and I have read the foregoing Answer to Complaint and know the contents			
7	thereof to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge, except matters alleged upon			
8	information and belief, and those matters I believe to be true.			
9	DATED this 11 <sup>th</sup> day of October, 2010.			
10				
11	/s/			
12	Elmer Phillip Vild, aka Phillip O'Neil			
13	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 11 <sup>th</sup> day of October,			
14	2010, by Elmer Phillip Vild, aka Phillip O'Neil.			
15	My Commission Expires: September 12, 2012			
16	September 13, 2012 Notary Public			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
	6			
	v			